



Article Evaluating Face2Gene as a Tool to Identify Cornelia de Lange Syndrome by Facial Phenotypes

Ana Latorre-Pellicer ¹, Ángela Ascaso ², Laura Trujillano ², Marta Gil-Salvador ¹, Maria Arnedo ¹, Cristina Lucia-Campos ¹, Rebeca Antoñanzas-Pérez ¹, Iñigo Marcos-Alcalde ^{3,4}, Ilaria Parenti ^{5,6}, Gloria Bueno-Lozano ², Antonio Musio ⁷, Beatriz Puisac ¹, Frank J. Kaiser ^{5,8}, Feliciano J. Ramos ^{1,2}, Paulino Gómez-Puertas ^{3,*} and Juan Pié ^{1,*}

- ¹ Unit of Clinical Genetics and Functional Genomics, Department of Pharmacology-Physiology, School of Medicine, University of Zaragoza, CIBERER-GCV02 and ISS-Aragon, E-50009 Zaragoza, Spain; alatorre@unizar.es (A.L.-P.); martage.sc@gmail.com (M.G.-S.); marnedo@unizar.es (M.A.); cristinaluca96@hotmail.com (C.L.-C.); rebecantop@gmail.com (R.A.-P.); puisac@unizar.es (B.P.); framos@unizar.es (F.J.R.)
- ² Department of Paediatrics, Hospital Clínico Universitario "Lozano Blesa", E-50009 Zaragoza, Spain; angelaascaso@hotmail.com (Á.A.); lautrujillano@gmail.com (L.T.); gbuenoloz@yahoo.es (G.B.-L.)
- ³ Molecular Modelling Group, Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa, CBMSO (CSIC-UAM), E-28049 Madrid, Spain; imarcos@cbm.csic.es
- ⁴ Bioscience Research Institute, School of Experimental Sciences, Universidad Francisco de Vitoria, UFV, E-28223 Pozuelo de Alarcón, Spain
- ⁵ Section for Functional Genetics, Institute of Human Genetics, University of Lübeck, 23562 Lübeck, Germany; ilaria.parenti@ist.ac.at (I.P.); frank.kaiser@uk-essen.de (F.J.K.)
- ⁶ Institute of Science and Technology (IST) Austria, 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria
- ⁷ Istituto di Ricerca Genetica e Biomedica, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, I-56124 Pisa, Italy; antonio.musio@irgb.cnr.it
- ⁸ Institute for Human Genetics, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, 45147 Essen, Germany
- * Correspondence: juanpie@unizar.es (J.P.); pagomez@cbm.csic.es (P.G.-P.); Tel.: +34-976-761677 (J.P.); +34-91-1964663 (P.G.-P.)

Received: 30 December 2019; Accepted: 2 February 2020; Published: 4 February 2020



Abstract: Characteristic or classic phenotype of Cornelia de Lange syndrome (CdLS) is associated with a recognisable facial pattern. However, the heterogeneity in causal genes and the presence of overlapping syndromes have made it increasingly difficult to diagnose only by clinical features. DeepGestalt technology, and its app Face2Gene, is having a growing impact on the diagnosis and management of genetic diseases by analysing the features of affected individuals. Here, we performed a phenotypic study on a cohort of 49 individuals harbouring causative variants in known CdLS genes in order to evaluate Face2Gene utility and sensitivity in the clinical diagnosis of CdLS. Based on the profile images of patients, a diagnosis of CdLS was within the top five predicted syndromes for 97.9% of our cases and even listed as first prediction for 83.7%. The age of patients did not seem to affect the prediction accuracy, whereas our results indicate a correlation between the clinical score and affected genes. Furthermore, each gene presents a different pattern recognition that may be used to develop new neural networks with the goal of separating different genetic subtypes in CdLS. Overall, we conclude that computer-assisted image analysis based on deep learning could support the clinical diagnosis of CdLS.

Keywords: Cornelia de Lange syndrome; Face2Gene; Facial recognition; Deep learning

1. Introduction

Cornelia de Lange syndrome (CdLS, OMIM #122470, #300590, #610759, #614701, #300882) is a rare congenital condition characterized by a wide spectrum of symptoms and physical features, with a characteristic facial gestalt, intellectual disability, limb reduction and growth retardation as the main phenotypic manifestations [1]. Since symptoms and clinical presentation vary widely in range and severity among affected individuals, clinical diagnosis is often challenging.

CdLS has mainly been associated with genetic variants in genes encoding different structural and regulatory elements of the cohesin complex. In fact, approximately 80% of CdLS patients show pathogenic variants in one of these cohesin complex associated genes. *NIPBL* is the major causative gene and accounts for about 70% of patients with CdLS, while approximately 5%–10% of CdLS patients carry pathogenic variants in SMC1A, SMC3, RAD21 or HDAC8, BRD4 and ANKRD11 genes [2]. Somatic mosaicism in NIPBL is frequent in CdLS patients and might further contribute to the different expression of symptoms among patients [3–5]. Furthermore, even in the presence of variants affecting the same causative gene, a wide clinical spectrum, from classical to mildly affected patients, is known [6]. The cohesin complex is not only involved in sister chromatids cohesion, but it also exhibits novel biological functions, such as the regulation of gene transcription [7–9], thus extending the range of pathomechanisms relevant for cohesinopathies and potentially explaining the variability in the clinical manifestations of CdLS [10–13]. Furthermore, other chromatin dysregulation disorders [14], cohesinopathies [15] and/or transcriptomopathies [16] present overlapping phenotypes with CdLS, such as CHOPS syndrome (OMIM #616368), KBG syndrome (OMIM #148050), Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome (RSTS, OMIM #180849, #613684), Wiedemann-Steiner syndrome (WDSTS, OMIM #605130), Coffin-Siris syndrome (CSS, OMIM #135900) and Nicolaides–Baraitser syndrome (NCBRS, OMIM #601358).

Deep phenotyping and the standardization of terminologies in the Human Phenotype Ontology have proved to be efficient in the differential diagnosis of many syndromes [17,18]. According to the international consensus statement published in 2018 [2], a classification system based on cardinal and suggestive CdLS features was proposed in order to distinguish between classic and non-classic CdLS phenotypes and to discriminate other entities that resemble CdLS. Four out of six cardinal features are related with facial dysmorphology: (1) Synophrys (HP:0000664) and/or thick eyebrows (HP:0000574); (2) short nose (HP:0003196), concave nasal ridge (HP:0011120) and/or upturned nasal tip (HP:0000463); (3) long (HP:0000343) and/or smooth philtrum (HP:0000319); (4) thin upper lip vermilion (HP:0000219) and/or downturned corners of mouth (HP:0002714). Therefore, evaluation of facial dysmorphism is of outmost importance for the diagnosis of CdLS. However, it largely depends on the expertise of the examining physician.

Within the last years, artificial intelligence (AI) systems have supported several clinical and diagnostic activities, such as visual diagnoses in pathology, radiology, dermatology and ophthalmology. Besides, computational assistance is gaining more attention in the field of medical/clinical genetics, in which deep learning technologies have been increasingly applied to identify facial phenotypes of rare genetic disorders. Very recently, Gurovich et al. [19] proposed a new technology powering Face2Gene, DeepGestalt, which comprises over 17,000 facial images for more than 200 rare diseases, achieving 91% top-10 accuracy.

The aim of this study was to assess the current clinical utility of Face2Gene technology in CdLS diagnosis by testing a cohort of 49 individuals already clinically and molecularly confirmed as CdLS. We explore sensitivity for facial image recognition of CdLS patients using probands of various ages and with causative variants in different causative genes.

2. Results

2.1. Clinical and Molecular Diagnosis of the Individuals Analysed

According to the clinical score published by Kline et al. 2018, 36 of the 49 patients showed a classic phenotype (score > 11), six showed a non-classic phenotype (score 9-11), and three cases presented a

clinical score of 8. For four patients, we were not able to calculate the score due to the lack of some critical information (Table 1).

For all 49 individuals reported, causative genetic variants in known CdLS genes were identified and confirmed. Genetic variants in *NIPBL* were identified in 33 individuals, five patients showed variants in *HDAC8*, eight in *SMC1A* and three in *RAD21*. The 49 individuals harboured 47 unique variants and one recurrent non-frameshift deletion (*SMC1A*, c.802-804delAAG) that was identified in three unrelated patients (Table 1).

Twenty-two of these patients were reported and the remaining 27 were unpublished. Whereas already known genetic variants were identified in 11 of those patients, 16 individuals presented new causal variants that were not previously identified. Eleven of them affected *NIPBL* (two missense variants, three nonsense variants, two splice variants, two frameshift variants and a deletion involving exon 4), two variants affect *SMC1A* (one missense and one splice variant), two missense variants affect *HDAC8* and two were microdeletions, including the *RAD21* gene (Table 1).

For those variants tested, the vast majority (27 of 31) were de novo. Two of the four variants inherited from a clinically diagnosed parent affected *NIPBL*: One *SMC1A* and one *RAD21* (Table 1).

ID	Sex	Age Photo	CdLS Score	Gene	Variant Type	Exon/ Intron	Mutation (hg 19)	Protein	Inheritance	Novelty
#N01	М	5	14	NIPBL	missense	35	c.6242G>T	p.Gly2081Val	de novo	Patient reported [20]
#N02	Μ	1	10	NIPBL	splice variant	3i	c.230+1G>A	-	de novo	Patient reported [20]
#N03	F	2	13	NIPBL	nonsense	10	c.2146C>T	p.Gln716*	de novo	Patient reported [20]
#N04	F	1	15	NIPBL	missense	37	c.6449T>C	p.Leu2150Pro	-	Patient Reported [2,20]
#N05	Μ	14	12	NIPBL	nonframeshiftDeletion	30	c.5689_5691delAAT	p.Asn1897del	de novo	Patient Reported [2,20]
#N06	F	4	13	NIPBL	frameshiftDeletion	20	c.4321G>T	p.Phe1442Lysfs*3	de novo	Patient reported [20]
#N07	F	13	16	NIPBL	frameshiftDeletion	10	c.2479_2480delAG	p.Arg827Glyfs*2	de novo	Patient reported [20]
#N08	Μ	9	14	NIPBL	nonsense	3	c.133C>T	p.Arg45*	de novo	New CdLS Variant
#N09	F	24	9	NIPBL	missense	36	c.6316G>C	p.Val2106Leu	de novo	ClinVar
#N10	F	32	15	NIPBL	missense	41	c.7012G>C	p.Ala2338Pro	de novo	ClinVar
#N11	F	37	15	NIPBL	splice variant	32i	c.5862+2insGAG	-	de novo	Similar Variant described in the literature c.5862 + 1delG [21]
#N12	М	16	15	NIPBL	frameshiftDeletion	10	c.3060_3063delAGAG	p.Glu1021Thrfs*22	-	Variant described in the literature [11]
#N13	F	27	13	NIPBL	missense	29	c.5471C>T	p.Ser1824Leu	de novo	New CdLS Variant
#N14	F	40	-	NIPBL	missense	40	c.6893G>A	p.Arg2298His	de novo	Variant described in the literature [11,22,23]
#N15	М	13	10	NIPBL	missense	47	c.8387A>G	p.Tyr2796Cys	familial (m)	Patient reported [24]
#N16	F	2	14	NIPBL	missense	36	c.6269G>T	p.Ser2090Ile	de novo	Patient reported [20]
#N17	М	3	13	NIPBL	splice variant	28i	c.5329-6T>G	-	familial (p)	Patient reported [25]
#N18	F	8	13	NIPBL	frameshiftDeletion	44	c.7438_7439delAG	p.Arg2480Lysfs*5	de novo	Patient reported [20]
#N19	М	16	13	NIPBL	exon 4 deletion	4		-	-	New CdLS Variant
#N20	F	5	15	NIPBL	missense	39	c.6647A>C	p.Tyr2216Ser	de novo	Patient reported [4]
#N21	F	7	14	NIPBL	missense	36	c.6272G>A	p.Cys2091Tyr	-	Variant described in the literature [26]
#N22	М	1	16	NIPBL	splice variant	19i	c.4320+5G>C	-	de novo	Patient reported [20,25]
#N23	F	5	14	NIPBL	nonsense	39	c.6880C>T	p.Gln2294*	de novo	Patient reported [20]
#N24	F	1	15	NIPBL	nonsense	9	c.1445_1448delGAGA	p.Arg482Asnfs*20	-	Patient reported [27]
#N25	М	3	16	NIPBL	missense	39	c.6647A>G	p.Tyr2216Cys	de novo	Patient reported [28]
#N26	F	7	13	NIPBL	missense	40	c.6860T>C	p.Leu2287Pro	-	New CdLS Variant
#N27	Μ	1	15	NIPBL	nonsense	29	c.5455C>T	p.Arg1819*	de novo	New CdLS Variant
#N28	F	9	15	NIPBL	frameshiftInsertion	41	c.6964_6965insATTTA	p.Ala2325*	-	New CdLS Variant
#N29	F	2	13	NIPBL	splice variant	21i	c.4560+4A>G	-	de novo	New CdLS Variant
#N30	F	1	15	NIPBL	frameshiftDeletion	38	c.6549_6552delCTCA	p.His2183Glnfs*13	de novo	New CdLS Variant
#N31	М	4	17	NIPBL	splice variant	20i	c.4422-1G>T	-	-	New CdLS Variant
#N32	М	34	14	NIPBL	splice variant	2i	c.65-5A>G	-	-	LOVD
#N33	F	16	15	NIPBL	nonsense	9	c.992C>T	p.Arg308*	-	New CdLS Variant
#S34	М	5	12	SMC1A	missense	4	c.587G>A	p.Arg196His	de novo	Patient reported [20,29]
#S35	F	27	14	SMC1A	nonframeshiftInsertion	5	c.802_804delAAG	p.Lys268del	de novo	Patient reported [20]

Table 1. Population description, clinical score and potential causative variants.

Sex

М

F

F

F

М

F

F

Μ

F

Μ

F

F

F

Μ

ID

#S36

#S37

#S38 #S39

#S40

#S41

#H42

#H43

#H44

#H45

#H46

#R47

#R48

#R49

Age

Photo

4

7

2

2

11

41

4

3

6

5

11

3

5

8

11

8

8

-

10

HDAC8

HDAC8

RAD21

RAD21

RAD21

				lable 1. Cont.									
CdLS Score	Gene	Variant Type	Exon/ Intron	Mutation (hg 19)	Protein	Inheritance	Novelty						
13	SMC1A	missense	13	c.2132 G>A	p.Arg711Gln	de novo	Patient reported [20]						
14	SMC1A	missense	15	c.2369G>A	p.Arg790Gln	-	Patient reported [13]						
-	SMC1A	nonframeshiftDeletion	5	c.802_804delAAG	p.Lys268del	-	Variant described in the literature [20]						
13	SMC1A	splice variant	2	c.44-1G>A	-	-	New CdLS Variant						
-	SMC1A	missense	22	c.3340A>T	p.Asn1114Tyr	familial (m)	New CdLS Variant						
15	SMC1A	nonframeshiftDeletion	5	c.802_804delAAG	p.Lys268del	-	Variant described in the literature [20]						
8	HDAC8	missense	6	c.562G>A	p.Ala188Thr	de novo	Clin Var						
12	HDAC8	missense	9	c.958G>A	p.Gly320Arg	-	ClinVar						
9	HDAC8	missense	7	c.709G>T	p.Asp237Tyr	-	New CdLS Variant						

8q24.11q24.12(117765326_122494596)x1

8q24.11 (117765326_118270323)x1

p.Cys102Tyr

p.Asn156Lys

p.Thr461Ile

New CdLS Variant

Patient reported [12]

New CdLS Variant

New CdLS Variant

Patient reported (In press)

de novo

de novo

familial (p)

-

-

Table 1. Cont.

Abbreviations: M, male; F, female; (m), maternal; (p), paternal.

c.305G>A

c.468T>G

c.1382C>T

4

5

11

whole

gene whole

gene

missense

missense

missense

4.7 Mb deletion

504 Kb deletion

2.2. Identifying Cornelia de Lange Syndrome using Face2Gene

Based on the profile images of the Spanish National CdLS Cohort with causative variants described in *NIPBL*, *SMC1A*, *HDAC8* or *RAD21* genes, CdLS was submitted as one of the top five in the sorted suggestion list of Face2Gene in 47/49 cases (97.9%). Furthermore, CdLS was suggested as the most probable clinical diagnosis in 41/49 cases (83.7%). Among 41 cases in which CdLS was ranked in the top five, 35 cases (71.5%) obtained the high gestalt level. Medium and low gestalt levels were achieved in eight and four cases (16.3% and 8.1%), respectively (Figure 1; Supplementary Table S1).



Figure 1. Face2Gene facial analysis in a cohort of 49 patients with CdLS and molecular diagnosis. (**A**) Top-five sensitivity of the five most frequent syndromes listed. High, medium or low gestalt level frequencies are shown. (**B**) Image comparison of a representative case (N05) with a variant in *NIPBL* and the mask syndrome elaborated for CdLS, KBG and Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome (RST), respectively. (**C**) Image comparison of a representative case (N09) with a variant in *NIPBL* gene and the mask syndrome elaborated for CdLS, KBG and Charge syndromes, respectively.

Interestingly, all 12 cases with nonsense or frameshift variants presented a high gestalt level for CdLS. Furthermore, regarding clinical score, CdLS was proposed as the most probable clinical diagnosis in 32/36 (88.8%) cases with classic phenotype, and 6/9 (66.6%) cases with a clinical score <11 (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1).

KBG syndrome was the second most suggested diagnosis. In 22/49 (44.89%) cases, it was in the top five list. CHARGE syndrome, Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome and Moebius syndrome were also mentioned in the top five list, with frequencies of 36.7% (18/49), 34.7% (17/49), and 18.4% (9/49), respectively (Figure 1; Supplementary Table S1). In two cases (#N19 *NIPBL* gene; #S36, *SMC1A* gene), KBG syndrome was the first suggested as most the probable clinical diagnosis. In one case, Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome (#S40, *SMC1A* gene) and Charge syndrome were suggested as the first diagnosis for a H46 with a variant in the *HDAC8* gene. None of them presented a high gestalt level.

2.3. Face2Gene Evauation for Facial Images of CdLS Patients at Different Ages

No differences in top-one sensitivity were observed between the facial images of the youngest and oldest probands analysed (n = 49, from 1- to 41-years-old, median = 5, mean = 10.3) (Supplementary Table S1). However, in order to evaluate if Face2Gene performance may have been affected by the age at which the facial images were taken, we analysed 49 photos from 15 patients at different ages (from 1- to 33- years-old). Regarding top-one result, there was a complete agreement between images of the same patient, even in #S36 and #H46 probands, who showed KBG and Charge syndrome as a first option. Despite this specificity, the top-five diagnosis varied considerably between the different ages, although the second-rank syndrome showed some consistent tendency (Supplementary Table S2).

Next, we examined whether Face2Gene was able to discriminate facial phenotypes of CdLS patients depending on the genetic variants in different genes. The top-five sensitivity for the *NIPBL*, *HDAC8*, *SMC1A* and *RAD21* clinical test set led to a similar result with little or no variation: 100% (33/33), 80% (4/5), 87.5% (7/8) and 100% (3/3), respectively. However, the top-one sensitivity presented more differences between genotypes: 97.0% (32/33), 60% (3/5), 50.0% (4/8), and 66.6 % (2/3), respectively. A high gestalt level was obtained in 87.9% (29/33), 60.0% (3/5), 37.5% (3/8) and 0.0% (0/3) of patients with causative variants described in *NIPBL*, *HDAC8*, *SMC1A* and *RAD21* genes, respectively (Figure 2) (Supplementary Table S1).



Figure 2. Face2Gene evaluation for facial image of CdLS patients with variants in different causative genes. For each gene, top-five sensitivity of the five most frequent syndromes are listed. High, medium or low gestalt level frequency is indicated. For each gene, a representative image is shown illustrating the mask elaborated for CdLS, KBG or RSTS syndromes (bottom) for the different photographs analysed (top). Overlapping facial regions are indicated by the coloured halo from red to blue. (**A**) *NIPBL* gene (n = 33), individual #N08 (**B**) *SMC1A* gene (n = 8), individual #S37. (**C**) *HDAC8* gene (n = 5), individual #H43. (**D**) *RAD21* gen (n = 3), individual #R49. CdLS, Cornelia de Lange syndrome; FAS, Fetal alcohol syndrome; KBG, KBG syndrome; MBS, Moebius syndrome; PWS, Prader–Willi syndrome; RSTS, Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome; SRS, Silver–Russell syndrome; SCS, Saethre–Chotzen syndrome.

Interestingly, the differential diagnosis seemed to be correlated with the affected gene. Whereas for *NIPBL* and *RAD21* patients, KBG syndrome was the second most common diagnosis (top-five rank), 54.5% (18/33) and 66,7% (2/3), Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome appeared most frequently in *SMC1A* and *HDAC8* patients, 50.0% (4/8) and 80.0% (4/5), respectively (Figure 2) (Supplementary Table S1).

At the same time, we analysed a special case, which was previously published with a duplication involving the *SMC1A* gene [30]. As described, the patient showed a classical CdLS facial gestalt. Indeed, CdLS diagnosis did not appear between the 30 diagnoses provided by Face2Gene. This allowed us to strengthen that *SMC1A* duplication acts as a cohesinopathy, but not as CdLS, at least in terms of facial features (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Face2Gene evaluation for facial image of a patient harbouring a duplication segment encompassing *SMC1A* gene.

3. Discussion

Deep learning is an exciting and promising approach that has already been successfully established in various clinical fields and is now gaining attention in clinical genetics. It consists of a variation of machine learning that uses neural networks to automatically extract novel features from input data [31]. In this respect, new technologies, such as a smartphone app called Face2Gene, have been recently developed [19]. Face2Gene uses deep-learning algorithms to help genetic clinicians and paediatricians diagnose conditions by image recognition.

This is of particular interest for CdLS, in which heterogeneity in clinical presentation and phenotype overlap with different syndromes, and the still widely unknown molecular pathomechanisms makes its diagnosis challenging for paediatricians. Thus, we have evaluated Face2Gene usefulness and sensitivity in a large cohort consisting of 49 patients with CdLS syndrome molecularly confirmed by mutations in *NIPBL*, *SMC1A*, *HDAC8* and *RAD21* genes.

Face2Gene technology has proved to have a high sensitivity detecting CdLS. In our cohort, we obtained a 97.9% top-five sensitivity, which is even higher than the 95.97% overall top-ten sensitivity rate reported by Gurovich et al. in a cohort of CdLS patients [19], and considerably higher than other previous applications [32,33]. Since Face2Gene was built as a framework that learns from every solved case, it can be expected that sensitivity will be even improved. However, we are aware that this high sensitivity might decrease precision, and specificity studies are required in order to further evaluate accuracy and clinical usage of Face2Gene.

The ages at which the photographs were taken were reported to affect Face2Gene results, at least in patients with inborn errors of metabolism [34] and in cases with Down syndrome [35]. Additionally, it has been proposed that the changing facial features of CdLS over time, specifically the coarsening of the eyebrows and eyes and prognathism, may increase the difficulty of a diagnosis in older individuals [32]. Despite that, we did not find substantial differences in sensitivity regarding the age at which CdLS facial images were taken.

Our results suggest differences in sensitivity depending on the clinical score and affected gene. The study shows that Face2Gene was able to diagnose CdLS patients with classic phenotype (clinical score >11) with a top-one sensitivity of 88.8%. However only 66.6% top-one sensitivity was achieved in non-classic phenotypes (clinical score < 11). When evaluating within genetic groups, *NIPBL* patients obtained the maximum sensitivity with 97% top-one sensitivity, which makes sense since individuals with the classic CdLS phenotype are more likely to harbour variants in *NIPBL* gene [2].

Finally, although this study had limitations in terms of the sample size of some genotypes, it is remarkable that each gene presented a different pattern recognition. We found that KBG syndrome was the second diagnosis for individuals harbouring causative variants in *NIPBL* and *RAD21* genes. However, in *SMC1A* patients, it was the fifth in the list, while in *HDCA8*, it did not even appear in the top five. Nevertheless, in patients with *SMC1A* and *HDAC8* variants, Rubinstein–Taybi was the second most common diagnosis, being the fifth in the list of *NIPBL* and insistent in the lists of *RAD21* individuals. Furthermore, regarding gestalt score, it is noticeable that *NIPBL* shows pronounced

facial features, whereas these are softened in *RAD21* patients. This dramatically reduces the ability of Face2Gene to diagnose patients with alterations in *RAD21* gene. Taking into account that *RAD21* is an essential part of the cohesin ring structure, as well as *SMC1A* and *SMC3*, this difference is not easy to explain from a molecular point of view. Perhaps it is related to the less central role of *RAD21* in the ATPase function of the other two proteins [36], but this is an extreme that should be studied in more detail in the future.

Nevertheless, as it has been shown in Noonan syndrome [19], the differences in pattern recognition between genes involved in CdLS will be useful for training and refining neural networks to be able to discriminate between genetic subtypes in CdLS. For example, it has been described that thicker eyebrows are suggestive of a mutation in *SMC1A* or *SMC3* [32], and females containing variants in *HDAC8* tend to present hypertelorism and a slightly bulbous nasal tip [12] All these data point out that Face2Gene can be optimized for specific CdLS phenotypic subsets if the number of photographs of different genotypes increases considerably.

In conclusion, the application of deep learning to image recognition is an exciting area that is developing rapidly and is primed to revolutionize clinical genetics diagnosis. The potential to offer the ability to analyse facial image at a speed and sensitivity never seen before is giving physicians a new tool in CdLS diagnosis management. Moreover, future studies based on deep learning will allow us to account for genotype–phenotype correlations between mutation type and affected gene in CdLS. There is still much work to be done to fully understand the correlations between genotype and phenotype in individuals with CdLS and CdLS-like diagnoses, but there are fascinating emerging applications with increasingly accurate use by clinicians.

4. Materials and Methods

Participants: We compiled a cohort comprising 49 (30 females and 19 males) individuals with a clinical diagnosis of CdLS. We limited our analysis to patients with both molecular diagnosis and available frontal facial photographs. Most of the patients were referred by the Spanish Association of Cornelia de Lange Syndrome (CdLS Spain). Written informed consent from parents or guardians was obtained. The protocol study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Clinical Research from the Government of Aragón (CEICA; PI16/225). Only individuals that were consented for publication of facial photographs were shown in this study.

Clinical Score: The CdLS clinical score was computed by trained physicians according to the international consensus criteria of CdLS [2]. A combination of cardinal and suggestive features was used to diagnose the CdLS phenotype. Classic CdLS was indicated with a score of > 11 if at least three cardinal features were identified, while a score of 9–10 indicated non-classic CdLS and implied the presence of at least two cardinal features.

Molecular Diagnosis: All individuals with CdLS were subjected to molecular analysis by next generation sequencing. In the majority of cases, targeted gene-panel via deep sequencing analysis was performed using Ion Chef and Ion S5 XL Systems (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The custom CdLS panel was designed using the Ion AmpliSeqTM Designer online tool. The designed panel spanned 249.25 kb of the selected genomic sequencing, including *NIPBL* (NM_133433.3), *SMC1A* (NM_006306.3), *SMC3* (NM_058243.2), *RAD21* (NM_006265.2), *HDAC8* (NM_018486.2), *BRD4* (NM_058243.2) and *ANKRD11* (NM_001256183.1). The analysis was performed using Ion Reporter and IGV (Broad Institute) software. Reportable variants were validated by Sanger sequencing. If the panel did not detect causal variants, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) and/or comparative genomic hybridisation (CGH) array were done. Human Genome Variation Society (www.hgvs.org) nomenclature guidelines were used to name the mutation at the DNA level and the predicted resulting protein.

Facial and Data Analysis: We analysed the frontal images of all individuals using Face2Gene technology (FDNA Inc., Boston, MA, USA; https://www.face2gene.com). According to Gurovich et al. [19], we evaluated the sensitivity of Face2Gene by measuring the top-one and top-five sensitivity. Thus, top-one sensitivity means that the correctly diagnosis syndrome was

suggested the first in the sorted suggestion list of Face2Gene, while top-five sensitivity means that it was suggested as one of the top five on that list. Furthermore, for each analysed individual, we evaluated the gestalt similarity using the "gestalt level" barplot, which indicates levels of "high," "medium," and "low."

Statistical analyses and graphics were produced with GraphPad Prism 6 software.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials can be found at http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/21/3/1042/s1.

Author Contributions: A.L.-P.: manuscript writing, collection, and assembly of data with the help of M.G.-S., M.A. and C.L.-C.; Á.A., L.T., G.B.-L. and F.J.R.: patients recruitment and clinical score calculation; A.L.-P., R.A.-P., B.P., I.P., A.M., F.J.K.: molecular analysis; I.M.-A. and P.G.-P.: variants interpretation; B.P., F.J.K., F.J.R., P.G.-P. and J.P.: conception and design, manuscript editing, and approval of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work is supported by the: Spanish Ministry of Health-Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria (FIS) [Ref.# PI19/01860, to F.J.R. and J.P.]; Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities/State Research Agency RTC-2017-6494-1; RTI2018-094434-B-I00 (MCIU/AEI/FEDER, UE) to P.G.-P.; Diputación General de Aragón - FEDER: European Social Fund [Grupo de Referencia B32_17R, to J.P.] as well as funds from the European JPIAMR-VRI network "CONNECT" to P.G.-P.; Medical Faculty of the University of Lübeck J09-2017 to I. P.; German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) CHROMATIN-Net 01GM1520C to F.J.K. and Fondazione Pisa to A.M., A.L-P is supported by a Juan de la Cierva postdoctoral grant from MICIU.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

- CdLS Cornelia de Lange Syndrome
- RSTS Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome
- PMID PubMed Unique Identifier

References

- Ramos, F.J.; Puisac, B.; Baquero-Montoya, C.; Gil-Rodríguez, M.C.; Bueno, I.; Deardorff, M.A.; Hennekam, R.C.; Kaiser, F.J.; Krantz, I.D.; Musio, A.; et al. Clinical utility gene card for: Cornelia de Lange syndrome. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 2015, 23, 1431. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Kline, A.D.; Moss, J.F.; Selicorni, A.; Bisgaard, A.; Deardorff, M.A.; Gillett, P.M.; Ishman, S.L.; Kerr, L.M.; Levin, A.V.; Mulder, P.A.; et al. Diagnosis and management of Cornelia de Lange syndrome: First international consensus statement. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2018**, *19*, 649–666. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Huisman, S.A.; Redeker, E.J.W.; Maas, S.M.; Mannens, M.M.; Hennekam, R.C.M. High rate of mosaicism in individuals with Cornelia de Lange syndrome. *J. Med. Genet.* **2013**, *50*, 339–344. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Baquero-Montoya, C.; Gil-Rodríguez, M.C.; Braunholz, D.; Teresa-Rodrigo, M.E.; Obieglo, C.; Gener, B.; Schwarzmayr, T.; Strom, T.M.; Gómez-Puertas, P.; Puisac, B.; et al. Somatic mosaicism in a Cornelia de Lange syndrome patient with NIPBL mutation identified by different next generation sequencing approaches. *Clin. Genet.* **2014**, *86*, 595–597. [CrossRef]
- Pozojevic, J.; Parenti, I.; Graul-Neumann, L.; Ruiz Gil, S.; Watrin, E.; Wendt, K.S.; Werner, R.; Strom, T.M.; Gillessen-Kaesbach, G.; Kaiser, F.J. Novel mosaic variants in two patients with Cornelia de Lange syndrome. *Eur. J. Med. Genet.* 2018, *61*, 680–684. [CrossRef]
- 6. Pié, J.; Puisac, B.; Hernández-Marcos, M.; Teresa-Rodrigo, M.E.; Gil-Rodríguez, M.; Baquero-Montoya, C.; Ramos-Cáceres, M.; Bernal, M.; Ayerza-Casas, A.; Bueno, I.; et al. Special cases in Cornelia de Lange syndrome: The Spanish experience. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part C* **2016**, *172*, 198–205. [CrossRef]
- 7. Watrin, E.; Kaiser, F.J.; Wendt, K.S. Gene regulation and chromatin organization: Relevance of cohesin mutations to human disease. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **2016**, *37*, 59–66. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, Z.; Wang, X. Roles of cohesin in chromosome architecture and gene expression. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2019, 90, 187–193. [CrossRef]
- 9. Mehta, G.D.; Kumar, R.; Srivastava, S.; Ghosh, S.K. Cohesin: Functions beyond sister chromatid cohesion. *FEBS Lett.* **2013**, *587*, 2299–2312. [CrossRef]
- 10. Sarogni, P.; Pallotta, M.M.; Musio, A. Cornelia de Lange syndrome: From molecular diagnosis to therapeutic approach. *J. Med. Genet.* **2019**. [CrossRef]

- 11. Mannini, L.; Cucco, F.; Quarantotti, V.; Krantz, I.D.; Musio, A. Mutation spectrum and genotype-phenotype correlation in Cornelia de Lange syndrome. *Hum. Mutat.* **2013**, *34*, 1589–1596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Parenti, I.; Gervasini, C.; Pozojevic, J.; Wendt, K.S.; Watrin, E.; Azzollini, J.; Braunholz, D.; Buiting, K.; Cereda, A.; Engels, H.; et al. Expanding the clinical spectrum of the "HDAC8-phenotype"—implications for molecular diagnostics, counseling and risk prediction. *Clin. Genet.* 2016, *89*, 564–573. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huisman, S.; Mulder, P.A.; Redeker, E.; Bader, I.; Bisgaard, A.; Brooks, A.; Cereda, A.; Cinca, C.; Clark, D.; Cormier-Daire, V.; et al. Phenotypes and genotypes in individuals with SMC1A variants. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part A* 2017, 173, 2108–2125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Avagliano, L.; Parenti, I.; Grazioli, P.; Di Fede, E.; Parodi, C.; Mariani, M.; Kaiser, F.J.; Selicorni, A.; Gervasini, C.; Massa, V. Chromatinopathies: A focus on Cornelia de Lange syndrome. *Clin. Genet.* 2020, 97, 3–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Piché, J.; van Vliet, P.P.; Pucéat, M.; Andelfinger, G. The expanding phenotypes of cohesinopathies: One ring to rule them all! *Cell Cycle* **2019**, *18*, 2828–2848. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Parenti, I.; Teresa-Rodrigo, M.E.; Pozojevic, J.; Ruiz Gil, S.; Bader, I.; Braunholz, D.; Bramswig, N.C.; Gervasini, C.; Larizza, L.; Pfeiffer, L.; et al. Mutations in chromatin regulators functionally link Cornelia de Lange syndrome and clinically overlapping phenotypes. *Hum. Genet.* 2017, *136*, 307–320. [CrossRef]
- Köhler, S.; Carmody, L.; Vasilevsky, N.; Jacobsen, J.O.B.; Danis, D.; Gourdine, J.; Gargano, M.; Harris, N.L.; Matentzoglu, N.; McMurry, J.A.; et al. Expansion of the Human Phenotype Ontology (HPO) knowledge base and resources. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019, 47, D1018–D1027. [CrossRef]
- Groza, T.; Köhler, S.; Moldenhauer, D.; Vasilevsky, N.; Baynam, G.; Zemojtel, T.; Schriml, L.M.; Kibbe, W.A.; Schofield, P.N.; Beck, T.; et al. The Human Phenotype Ontology: Semantic Unification of Common and Rare Disease. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2015, *97*, 111–124. [CrossRef]
- Gurovich, Y.; Hanani, Y.; Bar, O.; Nadav, G.; Fleischer, N.; Gelbman, D.; Basel-Salmon, L.; Krawitz, P.M.; Kamphausen, S.B.; Zenker, M.; et al. Identifying facial phenotypes of genetic disorders using deep learning. *Nat. Med.* 2019, 25, 60–64. [CrossRef]
- Pié, J.; Gil-Rodríguez, M.C.; Ciero, M.; López-Viñas, E.; Ribate, M.P.; Arnedo, M.; Deardorff, M.A.; Puisac, B.; Legarreta, J.; de Karam, J.C.; et al. Mutations and variants in the cohesion factor genes NIPBL, SMC1A, and SMC3 in a cohort of 30 unrelated patients with Cornelia de Lange syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part A* 2010, 152, 924–929. [CrossRef]
- 21. Krawczynska, N.; Wierzba, J.; Jasiecki, J.; Wasag, B. Molecular characterization of two novel intronic variants of NIPBL gene detected in unrelated Cornelia de Lange syndrome patients. *BMC Med. Genet.* **2019**, *20*, 1. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Gillis, L.A.; McCallum, J.; Kaur, M.; DeScipio, C.; Yaeger, D.; Mariani, A.; Kline, A.D.; Li, H.H.; Devoto, M.; Jackson, L.G.; et al. NIPBL mutational analysis in 120 individuals with Cornelia de Lange syndrome and evaluation of genotype-phenotype correlations. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2004**, *75*, 610–623. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Yuen, K.C.; Xu, B.; Krantz, I.D.; Gerton, J.L. NIPBL Controls RNA Biogenesis to Prevent Activation of the Stress Kinase PKR. *Cell Rep.* **2016**, *14*, 93–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Puisac, B.; Teresa-Rodrigo, M.E.; Hernández-Marcos, M.; Baquero-Montoya, C.; Gil-Rodríguez, M.C.; Visnes, T.; Bot, C.; Gómez-Puertas, P.; Kaiser, F.J.; Ramos, F.J.; et al. mRNA Quantification of NIPBL Isoforms A and B in Adult and Fetal Human Tissues, and a Potentially Pathological Variant Affecting Only Isoform A in Two Patients with Cornelia de Lange Syndrome. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2017**, *18*, 481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Teresa-Rodrigo, M.E.; Eckhold, J.; Puisac, B.; Dalski, A.; Gil-Rodríguez, M.C.; Braunholz, D.; Baquero, C.; Hernández-Marcos, M.; de Karam, J.C.; Ciero, M.; et al. Functional characterization of NIPBL physiological splice variants and eight splicing mutations in patients with Cornelia de Lange syndrome. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2014, *15*, 10350–10364. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Mei, L.; Liang, D.; Huang, Y.; Pan, Q.; Wu, L. Two novel NIPBL gene mutations in Chinese patients with Cornelia de Lange syndrome. *Gene* **2015**, *555*, 476–480. [CrossRef]
- 27. Wierzba, J.; Gil-Rodríguez, M.C.; Polucha, A.; Puisac, B.; Arnedo, M.; Teresa-Rodrigo, M.E.; Winnicka, D.; Hegardt, F.G.; Ramos, F.J.; Limon, J.; et al. Cornelia de Lange syndrome with NIPBL mutation and mosaic Turner syndrome in the same individual. *BMC Med. Genet.* **2012**, *13*, 43. [CrossRef]

- Baquero-Montoya, C.; Gil-Rodríguez, M.C.; Hernández-Marcos, M.; Teresa-Rodrigo, M.E.; Vicente-Gabas, A.; Bernal, M.; Casale, C.H.; Bueno-Lozano, G.; Bueno-Martínez, I.; Queralt, E.; et al. Severe ipsilateral musculoskeletal involvement in a Cornelia de Lange patient with a novel NIPBL mutation. *Eur. J. Med. Genet.* 2014, 57, 503–509. [CrossRef]
- 29. Deardorff, M.A.; Kaur, M.; Yaeger, D.; Rampuria, A.; Korolev, S.; Pié, J.; Gil-Rodríguez, C.; Arnedo, M.; Loeys, B.; Kline, A.D.; et al. Mutations in cohesin complex members SMC3 and SMC1A cause a mild variant of cornelia de Lange syndrome with predominant mental retardation. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2007**, *80*, 485–494. [CrossRef]
- 30. Baquero-Montoya, C.; Gil-Rodríguez, M.C.; Teresa-Rodrigo, M.E.; Hernández-Marcos, M.; Bueno-Lozano, G.; Bueno-Martínez, I.; Remeseiro, S.; Fernández-Hernández, R.; Bassecourt-Serra, M.; Rodríguez de Alba, M.; et al. Could a patient with SMC1A duplication be classified as a human cohesinopathy? *Clin. Genet.* **2014**, *85*, 446–451. [CrossRef]
- 31. Deep learning for genomics. Nat. Genet. 2019, 51, 1. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Rohatgi, S.; Clark, D.; Kline, A.D.; Jackson, L.G.; Pié, J.; Siu, V.; Ramos, F.J.; Krantz, I.D.; Deardorff, M.A. Facial diagnosis of mild and variant CdLS: Insights from a dysmorphologist survey. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part A* 2010, *152*, 1641–1653. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Basel-Vanagaite, L.; Wolf, L.; Orin, M.; Larizza, L.; Gervasini, C.; Krantz, I.D.; Deardorff, M.A. Recognition of the Cornelia de Lange syndrome phenotype with facial dysmorphology novel analysis. *Clin. Genet.* 2016, *89*, 557–563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pantel, J.T.; Zhao, M.; Mensah, M.A.; Hajjir, N.; Hsieh, T.; Hanani, Y.; Fleischer, N.; Kamphans, T.; Mundlos, S.; Gurovich, Y.; et al. Advances in computer-assisted syndrome recognition by the example of inborn errors of metabolism. *J. Inherit. Metab. Dis.* 2018, *41*, 533–539. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Mishima, H.; Suzuki, H.; Doi, M.; Miyazaki, M.; Watanabe,, S.; Matsumoto, T.; Morifuji, K.; Moriuchi, H.; Yoshiura, K.I.; Kondoh, T.; et al. Evaluation of Face2Gene using facial images of patients with congenital dysmorphic syndromes recruited in Japan. *J. Hum. Genet.* **2019**, *64*, 789–794. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Marcos-Alcalde, I.; Mendieta-Moreno, J.I.; Puisac, B.; Gil-Rodríguez, M.C.; Hernández-Marcos, M.; Soler-Polo, D.; Ramos, F.J.; Ortega, J.; Pié, J.; Mendieta, J.; et al. Two-step ATP-driven opening of cohesin head. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 3266. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

ID.	0		SJLS	BGS	STS	THARGE	ABS	AL4	AS	ßRWS	SS	DSMC1	IFM	urner	Cri-Du-Chat	BBYSS	TT	SM
1D #NI01	NIDDI	Age		<u>+</u>	H		~	~	5	щ	1		H		<u> </u>	01	H	н
#N01 #N02	NIPBL	3	1						5		1							
#IN02	NIPBL	1	1		2							4						
#N03	NIPBL	2	1		2	5						4						
#IN04	NIPBL	14	1	2	3	5			l					5				
#N05	NIPBL	14	1	2	5		r	1										
#N06	NIPBL	4	1	5			Z	4 2			4	2						
#N07	NIPBL	13	1	2 2			1	۷.			4	3	5					
#N08	NIPBL	9	1	2		2	4				4		5					
#N09	NIPBL	24	1	2		3			1		4		5					
#N10	NIPBL	32	1	3	2			r				4	3					
#N11	NIPBL	3/	1		5	2	4	2	•			4						
#N12	NIPBL	16	1		2	3	4	2									2	
#N13	NIPBL	27	1	4	2			2									3	
#N14	NIPBL	40	1	4	3				ļ				1		2			
#N15	NIPBL	13	1	2			2					_	4		3			
#N16	NIPBL	2	1	2		4	3		4			2			5			
#N17	NIPBL	3	1	3		~			4	~					Э			
#N18	NIPBL	8	1	1		3				2								
#N19	NIPBL	16	2				~		3									
#N20	NIPBL	5	1	- 3		4	2			~								
#N21	NIPBL	7	1	2		4	4			ک ج								
#N22	NIPBL	1	1	2			4			2								
#N23	NIPBL	5	1		~	4												
#N24	NIPBL	1	1		3	2								4				
#N25	NIPBL	3	1	2			~					ļ		4				
#N26	NIPBL	7	1	5			2	3		_			4					
#N27	NIPBL	1	1	- 5	3		~			2								
#N28	NIPBL	9	1				2		3									
#N29	NIPBL	2	1			2												4
#N30	NIPBL	1	l	4	2	5			ļ									
#N31	NIPBL	4	1			4												
#N32	NIPBL	34	1			•••••												
#N33	NIPBL	16	1			5		_							_			
#S34	SMC1A	5	1					5	2						3			
#S35	SMC1A	27	1			•												
#S36	SMC1A	4	2	1					4									
#S37	SMC1A	7	1		2												4	
#S38	SMC1A	2	3		2													
#S39	SMC1A	2	3		1	4			ļ									
#S40	SMC1A	11	1						ļ									
#S41	SMC1A	41			4	1												
#H42	HDAC8	4	1	5	2								4		3			
#H43	HDAC8	3	1		2					5					4			
#H44	HDAC8	6				4	5		ļ							1	2	
#H45	HDAC8	5	1		2	4		ļ	ļ									5
#H46	HDAC8	14	3		4	1		-										2
#R47	RAD21	3	2	3	ļ	ļ			ļ									5
#R48	RAD21	5	1		ļ	ļ		ļ	ļ		3							
#R49	RAD21	8	1	3														5

Supplementary Table 1: Face2Gene facial analysis. The most frequent syndromes are listed. The value in the cells is the position in the sorted suggestion list. Shades of black indicate high gestalt level, shades of gray indicate mild gestalt level and shades of pale gray indicate low gestalt level.

Abbreviations: BRWS, Baraitser-Winter Syndrome; CdLS, Cornelia De Lange Syndrome; CSS, Coffin-Siris Syndrome; EDSMC1, Ehlers-danlos syndrome musculocontractural type 1; FAS, Fetal Alcohol Syndrome; HFM, Hemifacial Microsomia; KBG, KBG Syndrome; MBS, Moebius Syndrome; ML4, Mucolipidosis Type IV; RTT, Rett Syndrome; RSTS, Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome; SBBYSS, Ohdo Syndrome, SBBYS Variant.

₽ IIII	Age 5 11 13	L L CdLS	FAS	SOTS 4 2	SBW 5 2	KBGS	2 BRWS	s c c s s	4 Cri-Du-Chat	SVF 2	2 RSTS	B #N05	Age 6 14 15	CdLS	XBGS 2 2 2	HH 4 5	Luner 5 4	RSTS 8	^c Stickler	Sotos	4 WBS	Solos 5			#H46 U	<u>Age</u> 9 11 14	T CHARGE	SMd 3 2 2	² USP7-Related Disorder	cdLS	WHH 3	4	S A	SSD 4	2	5 KLEFS	□ 22q11.2 Deletion
E 80N#	Age 1 6 9	CqTS	KBGS 2 2	SHT9 3 3	WNKES	SNWT 2	MBS 3	4 ML4	FAS	SMB 5	CLS CLS	#N28	Age 9 12 13	CdLS	SBM 2 2 2	CHARGE	5 5 MRX102	3 ML4	KBGS 3	4 FAS	SHT9 4	SH 5			#S36 []	Age 1 3 4	KBGS	CdLS CdLS	Glass	S AAS	SQMH4	FAS	+ MRX102	STD 4	SMS 2	Md 5	
E 60N#	Age 21 24 26 33	CdLS	SDBX 2 2 2	cHARGE	\$\$20 4 5 2	WHH 5 3	4 MRX102	MFS 3	4	SAH 4	HFA	#N13 G	Age 4 10 17 27	CdLS	4TH 5 2 2	LLX 2 3	Sotos 3	2 WNKES	2 MRXSL	SMd 3	KBGS	4 HFA	BCNS	5 MRX102	BBS	5											

Supplementary Table2: Face2Gene facial analysis of 16 patients with CdLS at different ages. For each case the most frequent syndromes are listed. The value in the cells is the position in the sorted suggestion list. Shades of black indicate high gestalt level, shades of gray indicate mild gestalt level and shades of pale gray indicate low gestalt level.



Abbreviations: AS, Angelman syndrome; ASS, Aarskog-Scott syndrome; BBS, Bardet-Biedl Syndrome; BCNS, Basal cell nevus syndrome; BOPS, Bohring-Opitz syndrome; BRWS, Baraitser-Winter Syndrome; CdLS, Cornelia De Lange Syndrome; CFSMR, Craniofacial dysmorphism, skeletal anomalies, and mental retardation syndrome; CSS, Coffin-Siris Syndrome; DM, Myotonic Dystrophy; EDSMC1, Ehlers-danlos syndrome musculocontractural type 1; FAS, Fetal Alcohol Syndrome; FVS, Fetal Valproate Syndrome; GLHS, Gomez-Lopez-Hernandez syndrome HFA, Hemifacial atrophy, progressive; HFM, Hemifacial Microsomia; HPMRS, Hyperphosphatasia with mental retardation syndrome 1; JBTS, Joubert Syndrome; KBG, KBG Syndrome; KLEFS, Kleefstra Syndrome; LDS, Loeys-Dietz Syndrome; MBS, Moebius Syndrome; MCAP, Megalencephaly-capillary malformation-polymicrogyria syndrome; MFS, Marfan Syndrome; ML4, Mucolipidosis Type IV; MPS, Mucopolysaccharidoses; MNKES, Muenke syndrome; MRXSL, Mental retardation, X-linked syndromic, Lubs type; MRX102, Mental retardation, X-linked 102; PHMDS, Phelan-McDermid syndrome; PKS, Pallister-Killian syndrome; PWS, Prader-Willi Syndrome; RSTS, Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome; RTT: Rett Syndrome; SBBYSS, Ohdo Syndrome, SBBYS Variant; SLOS, Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome; SMS, Smith-Magenis syndrome; UFS, Urofacial Syndrome; WDSTS, Wiedemann-Steiner syndrome.